

**Syracuse Road EIS  
Public Meeting #2  
March 10, 2004**

Last Name	First Name	Property Address	City	State	Zip	Mtg. 2		
						Attendance	Submitted Comment	
							1. Input received from the public at the December 11, 2003 Public Information Meeting strongly supported the need for transportation improvements along Syracuse Road between 1000 West and 2000 West. Please provide any additional input you may have relating to the need for transportation improvements along this segment of Syracuse Road.	2. Please describe any advantages or disadvantages you see relating to any of the transportation improvement alternatives discussed at this meeting.
								3. Please list any additional comments, suggestions, or concerns you have regarding this project.
Allgood	Neca	1996 Allison Way	Syracuse	84075		1	1	The north or south shift alternatives (options B, C, & D) look like they will impact lots of historic homes but many of those are lower-quality rentals not of real historic importance. Options E & F are awful and will cause traffic flow problems for surrounding neighborhoods.
Barber	Phil C. & Beth H.	1532 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	1	1	It has long been evident that something needs to be done about the traffic on 1700 So., between 1000 and 2000 W. Not only is it very frustrating to try to get out of my driveway any time of the day or night, but friends and family avoid visiting me because they can't get back out. (Kinds like Hotel California) However, that isn't even the worst problem. The traffic noise and road dirt is almost impossible to live with. I can't even imagine how bad it is going to be when you start construction on the road expansion.
Barker	Sonja & Russell	1486 S. Glen Eagles Dr.	Syracuse	84075			2	
Beus	Vira A. & Vickie A.	1609 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	2	1	1. Definitely need a "Bike Lane". Many events take place on this stretch of road. Running marathons, Scout events, Bike & motorcycle heavy traffic on way to State Park. 2. School crossing very dangerous. 3. Bus stops stop traffic at times!
Bohn	Scott	2436 S. Allison Way	Syracuse	84075		1		
Briggs	Dean D., Robyn, & David	1013 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	2	1	1. Personal Danger - Power lines are extremely close to house and will be closer - personal danger to self and grandchildren. Since Heritage Lane went in light pole has been hit 3 times. Many wrecks. 2. Drainage and snow removal - Heritage Lane road was elevated 3-4 ft to meet Antelope road. Created a real drainage and snow removal problem. 3. Air Pollution - Heavy traffic and back up problems creates exhaust fumes that are unbearable esp heavy traffic times (this is most time). 4. Noise Pollution - Traffic noise is non-stop from 4 AM till late evening hours (1 or 2 AM)  Please buy us out - We don't want to be only residents left on South Side.
Cook	Cleone	2324 W. 700 S.	Syracuse	84075		1		Road is overloaded and needs the improvement. It had been hoped that the improvements would have already been made. There are safety issues: a) there is a need for safe crossings for school children across the road; b) the road needs to be sufficiently wide to allow for full traffic flow and allow for bus stop operation and other intermittent or slow moving vehicles; c) there may need to be additional traffic light added at the major subdivision intersections. I was not in favor of the winding road approach for the new road path. I would prefer to keep it straight. I believe a straight road is easier to drive, maintain, and keeps with the past planning growth of the community.
Cook	David Lawrence	2324 W. 700 S.	Syracuse	84075			1	Option C is preferred. It disturbs only the south side of the street. In my judgement it makes no sense to tear out the existing curb, gutter, and sidewalk on the North side. Take it all off one side.  Because of the existing excessive traffic... this project should be given a higher priority and should begin ASAP and most definitely be <u>2</u> lanes.  Options E and F shouldn't even be considered.  Option D - would be more costly (in my judgement) because of the existing improvements in place, but would be my 2nd choice.
Cook	Kay	2641 W. Glen Eagles Dr.	Syracuse	84075		1		
Cook	Kevin	2338 W. 700 S.	Syracuse	84075			1	Please get underway as quickly as possible. We can't get out of town! If it weren't for the West Frontage Road and Gentle Street, Syracuse would be a parking lot.  3 lanes would certainly be better than present but would not meet our present needs, and certainly not future needs. 5 lanes is preferred. Option C is my 1st choice...option B, 2nd, option D a poor third choice. Options A, E, F are least favorable.
Cridle	Norine J. and Lacey A.	1557 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	84075			1	We were unable to attend your last public meeting. We understand you are considering a plan that would take the road south of the existing road, our part of our property (back yard would be taken). This plan would leave us surrounded by roads on three sides (four, counting Allison Way). We are vehemently opposed to any such plan. Fumes and noise from this proposed plan would be absolutely intolerable. We are forming a citizens group to oppose this plan.
Dahl	Colleen F. & Van T.	1797 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	2	1	In our opinion, option A Center Line Alternative is a poor selection because it leaves residences on both sides of the road 1700 South with unacceptable frontage on a busy artery that will, in our opinion, play a major function in the future connecting I-15 with the almost certain future Legacy Highway proposed 1 mile West. Option B - South shift allows our property at 1765 W. 1700 So., as being the only unaffected residential home on the entire mile and would leave only residential homes total. This would leave the four home owners with a difficult situation of being located on a curve in the major artery with down sized frontage and the problem of being surrounded by commercial property, and the difficult problem of entering and exiting on the highway. Option C - This would be our choice in representing all our properties because it is consistent in the relocation of all the homes on the South side of 1700 South. This would also have the least impact of properties on the North side of 1700 South. Option D - In our opinion this is not cost effective for the following reason that it requires the relocation of 38 homes. Option E and F - We do not think a "S" curve is a reasonable option for a state artery that will handle future heavy traffic between the proposed Legacy Highway and I-15. But as homeowners it would be a great solution.
Dahl	Lloyd (E) & Alice S.	1792 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	1		
Dahl	Verl T. & Donna	1587 S. 2000 W.	Syracuse	UT	84075	2		
Figgins	Brent	1597 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	1		
Finlinson	Judith J. and David S.	2406 W. 1300 S.	Syracuse	84075		1		
Gardner	Brent R.	1555 Melanie Lane	Syracuse	84075		1	1	The need is in the morning, not just the afternoon. It can be very difficult to enter the road because of the eastbound traffic. Turning left onto Marilyn or Allison Way or Barboursy stacks up traffic for blocks. Those who go around the turning vehicle create their own hazards.  We need improved bus service; only one bus runs through Syracuse and it doesn't connect with the commuter bus at Layton Hills Mall. Light rail is probably not feasible for a long time. But we sure need Legacy Highway! I was caught in the Farmington shutdown.
Gooch	Cindy	1483 S. 4500 W.	Syracuse	84075		1	1	I think that "C" plan is the best because of the # of homes + et. Saves on our commercial property and helps to encourage commercial growth.
Gooch	Phil & Bev	1483 S. 4500 W.	Syracuse	84075			2	
Haacke	Carter, Cindy, & Carson	1533 S. Buft Rd.	Syracuse	84075			3	
Hamblin	George C. & Marian A.	2071 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075		2	
Hansen	Morris	2068 S. 2000 W.	Syracuse	84075			1	
Harris	David	3733 Inverness Drive	Syracuse	84075			1	
Hellewell	Kenneth	1439 S. 2600 W.	Syracuse	84075			1	Widen the road to allow more traffic to pass. In the mornings, it is not uncommon to have to wait through at least two changes of the stoplight at 1000 west before passing through.  Consider closing the Allison Way entrance to 1700 South. This continually backs up West bound traffic when someone is waiting to make the left turn. It occasionally backs up traffic to 1000 West, especially during the hours the school zone is in operation.
Holt	Deron	1517 S. Marilyn Drive	Syracuse	84075		1		

Last Name	First Name	Property Address	City	State	Zip	Mtg. 2				
						Attendance	Submitted Comment	1. Input received from the public at the December 11, 2003 Public Information Meeting strongly supported the need for transportation improvements along Syracuse Road between 1000 West and 2000 West. Please provide any additional input you may have relating to the need for transportation improvements along this segment of Syracuse Road.	2. Please describe any advantages or disadvantages you see relating to any of the transportation improvement alternatives discussed at this meeting.	3. Please list any additional comments, suggestions, or concerns you have regarding this project.
Holt	Kathryn W.	1261 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	1	1	There is no question that improvements are needed.	As a property owner along the proposed route, Options E and F would be totally unacceptable. The route destroys development opportunities for the farm ground, and creates double streets for those of us sandwiched between the proposed road and 1700 South. All other alternatives impact my home either by removing it or being in such close proximity (less than 10 feet) that continuing to live there would be absurd. However, alternatives A, B, or C would be the best options for widening. A straight road for arterials is by far better than alternatives E & F.	Thanks for the information. It was extremely helpful.
Holt	Kathleen	1283 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	1	1	Can't even get out of my driveway certain times of the day - traffic often stopped & lined up in front of my home on 1700 S. I think the Option C - South Shift - is the best - least expensive way. In time the north side will develop commercially.	Option A impacts twice the homes - they hardly have any front yard as it is now - creates a mess on both sides! Option B - makes the most sense - #1. Option C - also would work - #2. Option D - too radical. Option E - dumb move - what are you going to do with 1700 S. Street? Option F - too strange - waste of land.	The road is long overdue - often have a few accidents each week. Definitely needs to be done as soon as possible.
	Scott W.					1	1	The road is too busy and needs to be widened & made at least 5-7 lanes to handle the vehicle traffic. It should have been built 8 years ago.	The best alt. is the south alignment. Either alt 1 or 2 works. It is illogical for the center alignment; too much is impacted. The other alts are too far out. You already have an existing easement & should follow it. The south alignment is bu far the best with the least impact.	The road needs to be built ASAP. There already is gridlock twice a day on the road; there are many traffic accidents when people try to make left hand turns now.
Jensen	Tracy	594 N. 2150 W.	Syracuse		84075		1			
Jones	Amy	1558 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse		84075					We live on the north side of 1700 south in the middle of the area that is being studied. We are concerned about the specifics of what will take place. There is already a heavy amount of traffic throughout most of the day, do you have any other plan than to expand the road? We worry about how much of our property will be taken and how we will get in and out of our driveway. We have also been told many different time frame for when this will take place.
Johnson	Andrew	1799 S. Allison Way	Syracuse		84075					I Support widening the road from the center line and the alternatives that widen it to the south. I strongly oppose any proposal that departs from the current corridor. Syracuse road should be easy to access as it is the main artery into Syracuse. Any plan that reduces the direct flow of traffic to Syracuse road in the form of roundabouts and access points is ridiculous and will increase congestion. A Straight road would be in the best interest of all the citizens of Syracuse.
Johnson	Anne	1319 S. 2200 W.	Syracuse		84075		1			
Johnson	S. Mark & Barbara R.	2057 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075		2			
Johnson	Tom	1799 S. Allison Way	Syracuse		84075	1	1	Syracuse Road needs to be widened between 1000 & 2000 West along the original road corridor. A traffic light needs to be added at the intersection of Allison Way and Syracuse Road when the street is widened to allow safe crossing for school children.	We are in favor of option A or C. We are very against options E and F. Options E & F will cause major traffic flow problems for several neighborhoods. Our property value will drop dramatically and we will never be able to sell our house. We do not want a road right next to our house. Some homes will be isolated from their neighbors. Options E and F will impact a lot more homes and families than the number shown in the studies. There will also be negative impact on the neighborhoods. The original roadway should be widened, not run through fields and parks, etc. Straight roads are much safer, especially when there is ice or snow. Options E & F will have car lights shining in our window, shake and probably damage our house from the vibration from the traffic. Noise will be a problem. We will have no privacy with the road next to our yard & bedrooms. These are terrible options.	Tom Allen said he would send us a copy of the alternatives. Please send it to us. Keep the road going straight.I feel that it is more dangerous with the curves in it and all of the side streets coming off of it. We have a family of foxes in the field behind our home and I don't want them pushed out. As I have talked to my Neighbors, the input that I have received is that they feel and so do I that the most sensible way to proceed with the widening of 1700 S or Antelope Drive is Option C - South Shift Alternative 2. They have been expecting this for many years and to be the most fair to them is to take their hole house not just part of the front. This property will no doubt be commercial property in the near future as new businesses move in. I feel that you would be doing an injustice to Syracuse and the residents by using any of the other options. I feel that it is totally insane to use Option E or Option F. That would not only be more hazardous with all of the curves, but would leave people with property that would be impossible to sell and with a degraded property value. I for one did not build my house here to be push that this is the stupidest plan that I have seen. Keep the road going straight!
Jones Knight	Phillip Lurlen	1558 W. 1700 S. 400 S. 2000 W.	Syracuse Syracuse		84075 84075		1 1			
Leavitt	Mathew H.	489 N. 3650 W.	West Point		84015	84075	1	1	* Very important: Either: 1) Leave my property completely alone & undisturbed (i.e. do not take any more ROW!) OR 2) Take my property totally out, i.e. a complete buy out.  I DO NOT like or favor partial taking, i.e. the proximity impacts, or reducing my front yard setbacks in reduced amounts.  Furthermore: Do Options B & C take any of my area for ROW? There seems to be a difference in the N side of ROW line at my property on options B & C.  No matter where this road is located the children on the south side of Antelope will be adversely impacted if they must cross Antelope to get to school - so schools will have to be built sooner or later south of Antelope.	Also your chart of "Summary of Impacts" for 5 lane alternatives; should have another column "if private property is being taken for ROW, but not a proximity impact, or total relocation!?"
Loose	Elizabeth B. & Martin L.	1384 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	1	1			March 10: Looking at Options E & F. Looks good to me - lowest # of families interrupted/moved/etc. - lowest interference for services. Sewer/water etc. Power lines.
McBride	Kathy	1586 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse		84075		1			
McBride	Paul	1586 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse		84075		1	I have no doubt that we need improved transportation in this area. I work on the road every day. Without any kind of left turn lane it is susceptible to back-ups & rear end collisions. Two people in my own family have been rear-ended on it in recent years.	A three lane would work for now but it may not in the future. In my particular case, any widening to the north would force me out of the building I run my business in (Paul's Automotive). Widening the existing roadway to the south would be the only thing that would work for me. I would personally prefer the new roadway to the south, that would leave the old Syracuse Road as a neighborhood street and disrupt the least number of households. Also there are some historical buildings along the old road that wouldn't have to be disturbed this way.	Whatever happens, my business (also an historical building) would be forced out with any widening to the north whatsoever.
	John G.					1	1		I know the road will be widened. If it is done any other way than Option E or F I would like UDOT to buy me out for the following reasons: -The exhaust is bad now. It's not in my home yet but on the front lawn you can smell it. -The noise is terrible. Between 5 am and 11 pm the traffic is constant. I work swing shift and I have problems sleeping after 5 or 6 am. -The dirt is on everything in the house. -The trucks (cement, semi, lumber, delivery, garbage, etc.) are pulverizing the foundations of everyone's home. -The speed limit is 40 mph. Most people do between 45-60. When you put 4 lanes in it will be a race track. -Traffic lights have been suggested. I live across from Allison Way.	I don't think it will be fair to put in 5 lanes and ask someone to live next to this road. Other arterials should have been built years ago when there was land to do so. Option E or F would be better for my family.

Last Name	First Name	Property Address	City	State	Zip	Mtg. 2			
						Attendance	Submitted Comment		
						1. Input received from the public at the December 11, 2003 Public Information Meeting strongly supported the need for transportation improvements along Syracuse Road between 1000 West and 2000 West. Please provide any additional input you may have relating to the need for transportation improvements along this segment of Syracuse Road.	2. Please describe any advantages or disadvantages you see relating to any of the transportation improvement alternatives discussed at this meeting.	3. Please list any additional comments, suggestions, or concerns you have regarding this project.	
McClurg	Shirley M.	1782 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	1	1	<p>If you don't I do options E or F I would like UDOT to buy me out. When I first moved to Syracuse just under 20 years ago, I felt like I was almost back home in Huntsdale where I grew up. Times have changed a lot but it seems progress is forcing us out. I don't want to have to leave a home where my children were raised before I'm ready to but the issue of widening 1700 is making it so. I do know I definitely don't want a 4 or 5 lane road at my front door step, who would? If I had wanted to live in the city I wouldn't. I have moved to the country. I would love for the road to go a different route and make the one in front of my home less traveled &amp; quieter.</p> <p>Right now it is so noisy between 5 AM-11PM you can hardly hear the TV when it's on. The trucks go by so fast (well everyone does) way over the 40 MPH limit that they're shaking our foundations apart.</p> <p>I think if widening 1700 is the only alternative UDOT should but out everybody on both sides of the road as I'm sure no one wants that their front door step. That would be the only fair way to do it. They would have to give everyone enough time to relocate where they want to though. We really didn't want to move at all until we had helped 17 year old through college after high school.</p>	<p>The suggestion for a walkway for school children is also right in front of my house. I heard a lady say parents drive their kids to school because they are afraid of them crossing 1700. The parents who drive their kids to school, still would drive them regardless, because that's the kind of parents they are. If a 4 or 5 lane road is put in though a walk way would probably be necessary.</p> <p>Someone suggested a light at Allison Way which I live directly across from. That would mean I would have to have a light to get out of my driveway or I would be breaking the law everytime I left my house. By the way the person who made the comment that it takes 20 mins to get out onto 1700 - wrong. I've never waited more than 5 min to get out of my driveway. We already have a lot of dirt in &amp; on everything in the house, widening the road would only make it worse. The exhaust from everything is already bad &amp; would also only get worse.</p>
Miller	Brent V.	1264 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	1	1	<p>Lower speed limit and stricter enforcement is needed. Some widening of the road is inevitable but I feel that 5 lanes take away from the rural setting that I moved here for. Three lanes incl. a turn lane and some alternative routes for commuter traffic would be better.</p>	<p>No Action: Need some improvements, probably my 2nd choice.</p> <p>Three Lane: Would be my first choice to maintain somewhat more rural atmosphere.</p> <p>Five Lane: Could not support Option A. Would probably support Option B. Would probably support Option C. Would strongly oppose Option D. Personally think Options E or F would be the best solution if 5 lanes are chosen.</p>
Mullin	Stephen T. & Patricia L.	1679 S. 1250 W.	Syracuse	UT	84075	1	1	<p>I believe that as much of the north side of the road should be taken, right now the town has said they would leave 15 homes in place on the north side of the road. The rest will be designated commercial property. I feel that to leave only a few homes on 1700 south will take away from the first impression of Syracuse. It would be a difficult decision for the remaining 15 homeowners to make property improvements as your property will not keep up with the rest of Syracuse home values. I propose to let the future business owners buy the south side of 1700 south. Take as much as possible from the north side to incorporate a nice walkway or park strip from the smith's complex on 1000 west and continue it down to the bluff road and tie into the existing walkway way there. I know that the homes are older ones on 1700 south and the homes that can't be sold to commercial business will just sit there,(north side), and not see major improvements. If my home is not purchased from the state, my plans are to turn it into a duplex to create more rental income. I think other home owners would do this too. The result would be more rental units in the 15 existing homes and more less attractive homes on the entrance to Syracuse.</p>	
Nelson	Debra A.	1687 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	1	1	<p>I live on 1700S road. I feel that the impact of the 2 lane road is dangerous. I worry every time I enter my yard that I will be hit from the rear. It is impossible to use the driveway. I go around to the back of my property. I feel that there is an urgent need to widen the road. My home has been put on the Historical list. I have no desire to live on the busy road. The home isn't worthy of historical status. Yes, it is old but not unusual. I have looked at all the plans. I see that if the road was widened on the south side it would impact the fewest homes. This also would leave the property already set aside by Syracuse city for commercial development to be accessed. This straight road appears to be the best. The split on bothsides will only impact all homes. I don't think anyone with a small town attitude would want the sidewalk at the front door. It will only devalue all the homes along 1700 S.</p>	<p>Plan C would go along with the proposed city center. The other plans will either cut into parks too near elementary school. This would be a great concern to elementary students. The other plan would cut up and divide properties into small pieces. Is this great.</p>
	Donald G.					1	1	<p>There needs to be a five lane road to handle the traffic needs. There needs to be a shoulder to provide a safe place to pull over for emergencies.</p>	<p>Option "A": Impacts everyone on the road by short front yards or removal of houses. Will make the most people upset. I do not like it.</p> <p>Option "C": This is the one I prefer for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. It removes less historic homes than option "B" and if you move the road a little further south on the west end it would not impact as many homes on the north side.</li><li>2. Preserves the 500' setback on both sides on the west end for commercial development. Cleans up the south side for further development. Leave no chopped up undesirable properties on the south side. Keeps road somewhat straight.</li></ol> <p>Option "D": It impacts the most historic homes, and would be the most expensive removing the most homes.</p> <p>Option "E":</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Ruins the 500' setback on the south side.</li><li>2. Chops up properties leaving several undesirable little pieces.</li><li>3. Adds another road for the city to maintain.</li><li>4. Impact Allison Way residents by removing 4 homes and leaving more of these people on corner lots to a busy road. It impacts property values of the corner lots and the homes adjacent to them. I own one of these homes.</li></ol>
Palmer	Diane	2486 W. 1500 S.	Syracuse	84075		1			
Panucci	Fred & Joannie	2480 S. 2000 W.	Syracuse	84075		2	1	<p>We need it more than ever.</p>	<p>C will impact few homes while maintaining the existing alignment.</p>
Peterson	Wallace	1787 S. 2000 W.	Syracuse	84075		1		<p>Thanks for the opportunity to express my feelings concerning the Syracuse Road (1700 South) between 1000 and 2000 West. I am sure there are pros and cons to each alternative. From a personal standpoint I strongly favor a straight shot down 1700 South. It would seem logical to me to only remove homes from one side of the road and not from both sides. There is more open space on the south side, but the homes are probably larger and better than those on the north side. Also, fewer historic homes would be involved that way.</p> <p>Most of the people I have talked to are not adverse to selling their homes and moving off busy 1700 South. I support option B the most, then options D then C. I strongly disagree with options A, E, and F.</p> <p>Wishing you success in the widening of this much needed addition to 1700 South.</p>	
Preece	Howard H.	2242 W. 1275 S.	Syracuse	84075		1			
Rampton	Wally & Claire	2586 S. 2000 W.	Syracuse	84075		2			
Smith	Jared O. & Nancy N.	1206 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075		1	<p>I live at 1224 W 1700 S and I would like some more detailed information on what will happen to my property. I have four children under the age of eight and DO NOT want to live on a five lane highway. I agree that something should be done to relieve the traffic congestion, but homes should not be left here if more lanes are added at the expense of front yards. With the recent accidents around the valley where cars hit whole families in their front yards, I think you should consider removing residential homes here. Please sent me some more info on my rights as a property owner on this road as well as details on potential projects.</p>	
Taylor	Melissa	1246 S. 1250 W.	Syracuse	84075			1	<p>Leaving as many mature trees as possible where they stand and allowing for a bicycle lane and having left protected arrows at the traffic lights</p>	
Truman	Brian	1391 S. 2375 W.	Syracuse	84075		1		<p>Homes older than 50 years should be preserved</p>	
VanVelkinburg	Jeanne & Bob	2081 W. Craig Lane	Syracuse	84075		2	1	<p>I think provision should be made for a school crossing about half way between 1000 W and 2000 West. You could consider either an overhead sidewalk or a stoplight.</p> <p>I agree that this section of Syracuse Road, which I thought was Antelope Drive, should be widened between 1000 West and 2000 West. I would favor the 5 Lane Alternative as the most cost effective and efficient type of roadway.</p> <p>I would favor preserving all historical sites and the curving route to miss those sites seems to work the best. Also it seems to be more pleasing to the eye and may have the additional benefit of slowing down the speeders.</p> <p>I do not favor commercial interests and buildings being located next to the roadway. I think a shopping mall and/or an industrial center would be a better idea. But those decisions can be discussed with the zoning &amp; planning council.</p>	
Waite	Lloyd	2175 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	84075		1			
Wallace	Brian	1751 S. 2000 W.	Syracuse	84075		1			

Last Name	First Name	Property Address	City	State	Zip	Mtg. 2				
						Attendance	Submitted Comment	1. Input received from the public at the December 11, 2003 Public Information Meeting strongly supported the need for transportation improvements along Syracuse Road between 1000 West and 2000 West. Please provide any additional input you may have relating to the need for transportation improvements along this segment of Syracuse Road.	2. Please describe any advantages or disadvantages you see relating to any of the transportation improvement alternatives discussed at this meeting.	3. Please list any additional comments, suggestions, or concerns you have regarding this project.
Wilcox	Con L. & Jerilyn	1492 W. 1700 S.	Syracuse	UT	84075	1				
Williams	James & Lynette	1783 Allison Way	Syracuse	84075			1		<p>My home is on of those homes that would be taken if rout E or F if chosen for the new road.</p> <p>A. First besides the idea that I do not like my home being taken down for a road I believe there are other considerations to be taken into account.</p> <p>B. I do not believe that route E and F are in the master plan of the Syracuse City.</p> <p>C. As the road would divide prime important land that would some day be used for residential or commercial.</p> <p>D. It would bring a major intersection to the middle of a subdivision. Which would require stoplights.</p> <p>E. It would leave some homes as partial islands in the middle of two major roads.</p> <p>Lynette and I feel that route "A" would be the best for the city. About the same amount of structures are taken and it is as designed a straight on the line alternative.</p>	
Williamson	Shawn	279 W. 650 N.	Clearfield	84015		1				
Yu	Joe Hanchung & Chu Chu	1268 S. 2000 W.	Syracuse	84075						
						63	31	16	24	26